arXiv:1604.08639v3 [math.AC] 6 Nov 2016

ON QUOTIENTS OF GENERALIZED EUCLIDEAN GROUP RINGS

LUC GUYOT

Abstract. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[C]$ be the integral group ring of a finite cyclic group C. Dennis et al. proved that R is a generalized Euclidean ring in the sense of P. M. Cohn, i.e., $\mathrm{SL}_n(R)$ is generated by the elementary matrices for all n. We prove that every proper quotient of R is also a generalized Euclidean ring.

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity. For $n \geq 2$, we define $\mathrm{E}_n(R)$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ generated by the elementary matrices, i.e., the matrices which differ from the identity by a single off-diagonal element. The ring R is termed a GE_n-ring if $\mathrm{GL}_n(R) = \mathrm{D}_n(R)\mathrm{E}_n(R)$, where $\mathrm{D}_n(R)$ denotes the subgroup of invertible diagonal matrices. Following P. M. Cohn, we term R a *generalized Euclidean ring*, or concisely a GE-ring , if R is a GE_n-ring for every $n \geq 2$, a property notoriously shared by Euclidean rings. If R is commutative, Whitehead's lemma implies that $\mathrm{D}_n(R) \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(R) \subset \mathrm{E}_n(R)$. Therefore the property GE_n is equivalent to $\mathrm{SL}_n(R) = \mathrm{E}_n(R)$ in this case. Let I be an ideal of a commutative ring R and let φ_n be the natural map $\mathrm{SL}_n(R) \to \mathrm{SL}_n(R/I)$. The natural map $\mathrm{E}_n(R) \to \mathrm{E}_n(R/I)$ is surjective and if φ_n is surjective too, then R/I is certainly a GE_n-ring whenever R is. But φ_n can fail to be surjective for all $n \geq 2$ as is the case for $R = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ and I the principal ideal generated by $X^2 + Y^2 - 1$ (see [Mil71, Example 13.5] and [Lam06, Proposition I.8.12 and Theorem VI.4.5]). Thus the inheritance of property GE_n by homomorphic images is not automatic. In the previous example R is a GE_3-ring whereas R/I is not a GE_n-ring for any $n \geq 2$. We can actually produce a non-commutative GE-ring having a non-GE_2 quotient. Indeed, a non-commutative free associative algebra over a field k is a GE-ring

Date: November 8, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F07, Secondary 16S34.

Key words and phrases. group ring; quotient; generalized Euclidean ring; quasi-Euclidean ring; elementary matrix; unimodular row; Nielsen equivalence; metabelian group.

and maps homomorphically onto a free commutative algebra over k which is not a GE_2-ring by [Coh66, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 7.3].

By contrast, the class of commutative GE-rings is known to be stable under taking quotients by the ideals contained in the Jacobson radical [Gel77, Proposition 5]. In addition, the class of quasi-Euclidean rings, i.e., the GE-rings which are moreover Hermite rings in the sense of Kaplansky [Kap49], is stable under taking quotients by any ideals [AJLL14].

The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ of a finite cyclic group C was shown to be a GE-ring in [DMV84]. If C is not trivial, then $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ has a non-principal ideal, and hence is not quasi-Euclidean. The purpose of this article is to establish

Theorem A. *Let C be a finite cyclic group. Then every homomorphic image of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ is a* GE*-ring.*

Our result has some bearing in combinatorial group theory. Let R be quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ and let M be a finitely generated free R-module with C a finite cyclic group. Then the image of C in R is a subgroup of the unit group of R so that C acts naturally on M by automorphisms. Theorem A allows us to show that the semi-direct product $G = M \rtimes C$ has only one Nielsen class of generating k-tuples for every $k \geq \mathrm{rk}(G) + 1$, where $\mathrm{rk}(G)$ denotes the minimal number of generators of G [Guy16, Corollary 4].

Our proof of Theorem A reuses extensively the techniques developed in [DMV84]. Lemma 4 below compiles all criteria from the latter article which render induction possible. The proof of these criteria is faithful to the original. We provide it for the reader's convenience. A notable difference in our case is that we need to extend the induction basis to the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ ($\zeta_d = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{d}}$) for all positive rational integers $d \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. For this we can rely on [Vas72]. The basis of our induction also comprises a set of 31 small quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ parametrized by the non-empty subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. These quotients can in turn be handled by induction, still using Lemma 4.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Pierre de la Harpe and Tatiana Smirnova-Nagnibeda for encouragements and comments made on preliminary versions of this paper.

2. Proof

Our first step consists in the following observation: we only need to prove that GE_2 holds for the homomorphic images of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$. This is the assertion (ii) of this first lemma which collects elementary facts for later use:

Lemma 1. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Then the following assertions hold:*

(*i*) *If the stable rank of R is 1, then R is a* GE*-ring.*
(*ii*) *If the stable rank of R is at most 2 (e.g., the Krull dimension of R is at most 1), then R is a* GE*-ring if and only if it is a* GE_2*-ring.*
(*iii*) *R is a* GE_2*-ring if and only if* $\mathrm{E}_2(R)$ *acts transitively on the set*

$$\mathrm{Um}_2(R) = \{(r, s) \in R^2 \mid rR + sR = R\}$$

of unimodular pairs of R.

□

A semilocal ring has stable rank 1 [Bas64, Corollary 6.5]. As a result semilocal rings, and Artinian rings in particular, are GE-rings. All rings considered in the proof of Theorem A are quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ and hence have stable rank at most 2 [MR87, Corollary 6.7.4].

Our second step consists in a reduction to quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ of a special kind. To this end, we will need the following stability properties:

Lemma 2. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Then the following assertions hold:*

(*i*) *Let J be an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of R. Then R is a* GE*-ring if and only if R/J is a* GE*-ring* [Gel77, Proposition 5].
(*ii*) *If R/I is a* GE*-ring for some finite ideal I of R, then R is a* GE*-ring.*

Proof. (*i*) See aforementioned reference. (*ii*). Let $n \geq 2$ and let $A \in \mathrm{SL}_n(R)$. As R/I is a GE-ring, we can find $E \in \mathrm{E}_n(R)$ such that $B = AE^{-1}$ lies in $\mathrm{SL}_n(R, I) \doteqdot \ker(\mathrm{SL}_n(R) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n(R/I))$. The coefficients of B lie in the subring K of R generated by I and 1_R, the identity of R. Therefore it suffices to show that K is a GE-ring. If the prime field of R is finite, then K is finite and hence Artinian. As K is a GE-ring in this case, we can assume now that the prime field of R is $\mathbb{Z}$. We have then $\mathbb{Z}1_R \cap I = 0$, which implies that the additive group of K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times I$. Let e be the exponent of the additive group of I. Then K/eK is finite and hence a GE-ring. We can find $E' \in \mathrm{E}_n(K)$ such that $C = BE'^{-1}$ lies in $\mathrm{SL}_n(K, eK) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}1_R)$. As $\mathbb{Z}1_R \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is Euclidean, we have $C \in \mathrm{E}_n(\mathbb{Z}1_R)$, which completes the proof. □

We now introduce the special GE_2-quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ to which the study of $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ can be reduced. Given a rational integer $d > 0$ we let $\lambda_d : \mathbb{Z}[X] \to \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ be the ring homomorphism induced by the map $X \mapsto \zeta_d$. Given a set $\mathcal{D}$ of positive rational integers, we define $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathbb{Z}[X] \to \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ by $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_d$ and set $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) = \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$.

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite and non-empty set of positive rational integers. Then $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ is a* GE_2*-ring.*

We postpone the proof of Lemma 3 and prove the theorem first.

Proof of Theorem A. Set $n = |C|$ and let R be an homomorphic image of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. There exists an ideal I of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ containing $X^n - 1$ and such that $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/I$. If R is finite, then R is Artinian and hence a GE-ring. Thus we can assume that R is infinite. By Lemma 2.*i* we can also assume that R is reduced. Since R is Noetherian, the ideal I is the intersection of finitely many prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Those ideals contain $X^n - 1 = \prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_d(X)$, therefore they are either principal ideals generated by cyclotomic polynomials or maximal ideals. In the latter case the index in R is necessarily finite. Consider then the finite and non-empty set $\mathcal{D}$ of all positive rational integers such that $I \subset \Phi_d(X)\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and let $\pi : \mathbb{Z}[X] \twoheadrightarrow R$ be the natural ring epimorphism. Using the quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ by the prime ideals containing I, we easily see that there is a finite direct sum K of finite fields, an injective ring homomorphism $\lambda : R \to \prod_{d\in\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \times K$ and a surjective ring homomorphism $\kappa : R \twoheadrightarrow K$ such that $\lambda \circ \pi = \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \times \kappa$. Then the projection $\prod_{d\in\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \times K \twoheadrightarrow \prod_{d\in\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ induces a ring epimorphism from R onto $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ whose kernel is $R \cap (\{0\} \times K)$, R being identified with $\lambda(R)$. Applying Lemma 2.*ii* yields the conclusion. □

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite set of positive rational integers with at least two elements. Let $e \in \mathcal{D}$ and set $\eta_e = \prod_{d\in\mathcal{D}\setminus\{e\}} \Phi_d(\zeta_e)$. Assume that $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D} \setminus \{e\})$ is a GE_2-ring and that at least one of the following holds:*

- (*i*) *$e \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $\frac{d}{e}$ and is not a positive power of a prime for any $d \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{e\}$.*
- (*ii*) *$e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $\eta_e \in (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e])^\times$.*
- (*iii*) *$e \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\eta_e = \pm 3$.*
- (*iv*) *$e \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ and $\eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] = 2\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$.*
- (*v*) *$e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ and $\eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] = (1 - \zeta_e)^k\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ for some $k \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Then $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ is a GE_2-ring.

Proof. Assertion (*i*) is [DMV84, Lemma 3.5]. For the remaining assertions we identify $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})$ with its image in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}\setminus\{e\}) \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ and let $\mathbf{r} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{Um}_2(R)$. Since the projection $\mathrm{pr}_e : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D} \setminus \{e\})$ is surjective and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D} \setminus \{e\})$ is a GE_2-ring, after multiplication by some $E \in \mathrm{E}_2(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}))$ we can assume that

$$a = 1,\ b = 0 \tag{1}$$

As $\ker(\mathrm{pr}_e)$ maps onto $\eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ via the projection $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$, we have

$$c-1, d \in \eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] \tag{2}$$
$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]) = \{0\} \times \eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e].$$

Conditions (1) and (2) remain valid after multiplication by any product of matrices in

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \{0\} \times \eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigcup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The projection of these of matrices to the $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ coordinate is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bigcup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

If (ii) holds then matrices of the form (3) generate $\mathrm{E}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e])$. As $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ is a Euclidean ring for $e \in \{1,2,3,4,6\}$ such matrices can therefore be used to reduce (c,d) to $(1,0)$, reducing thus $\mathbf{r}$ to $(1,0)$.

If either (iii) or (iv) holds, then [DMV84, Lemma 4.1] applies, so that multiplication by matrices in (3) can reduce either c or d to 0. Since $c-1 \in \eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$, it must be d. Assuming now that $d=0$, the unimodularity of (c,d) yields

$$c \in (1+\eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]) \cap (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e])^\times \subset \{\pm 1\}.$$

If $c=-1$, then $\eta_e\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e] = 2\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e]$ must hold and the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that (c,d) can be reduced to $(1,0)$ using matrices in (3).

If (v) holds then $(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_e], 1-\zeta_e)$ is a Euclidean pair with respect to the complex modulus, so that [DMV84, Lemma 4.2] applies and leads to the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Lemma 3. We apply inductively Lemma 4.i by choosing at each step the largest member e of $\mathcal{D}$ which is not in $S = \{1,2,3,4,6\}$. In this way $\mathcal{D}$ is reduced to either a subset of S or a singleton $\{d\}$ with $d \notin S$. In the latter case, $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{O}(\{d\}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ is a GE_2-ring by [Vas72]. We also observe that $\mathcal{O}(\{d\})$ is a GE_2-ring for all d in S. Indeed, the rings $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$ are Euclidean rings with respect to the complex modulus. Applying assertions (ii) to (iv) of Lemma 4, we can prove the result inductively for all pairs, triples and quadruples of elements of S, except the quadruple $\{1,2,3,6\}$ for which we apply [DMV84, Proposition 5.1]. Eventually, we apply Lemma 4.v (or equivalently [DMV84, Proposition 5.10]) to prove the result for $\mathcal{D} = S$. □

References

[AJLL14] Adel Alahmadi, S. K. Jain, T. Y. Lam, and A. Leroy. Euclidean pairs and quasi-Euclidean rings. *J. Algebra*, 406:154–170, 2014.

[Bas64] H. Bass. K-theory and stable algebra. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (22):5–60, 1964.

[Coh66] P. M. Cohn. On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (30):5–53, 1966.

[DMV84] Keith Dennis, Bruce Magurn, and Leonid Vaserstein. Generalized Euclidean group rings. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 351:113–128, 1984.

[Gel77] Susan C. Geller. On the GE_n of a ring. *Illinois J. Math.*, 21(1):109–112, 1977.

[Guy16] L. Guyot. Generators of split extensions of Abelian groups by cyclic groups. Preprint, arXiv: [math.GR], April 2016.

[Kap49] Irving Kaplansky. Elementary divisors and modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 66:464–491, 1949.

[Lam06] T. Y. Lam. *Serre's problem on projective modules*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Mil71] John Milnor. *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.

[MR87] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson. *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1987. With the cooperation of L. W. Small, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[Vas72] L. N. Vaserštein. The group SL_2 over Dedekind rings of arithmetic type. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 89(131):313–322, 351, 1972.

EPFL ENT CBS BBP/HBP. Campus Biotech. B1 Building, Chemin des mines, 9, Geneva 1202, Switzerland

E-mail address: luc.guyot@epfl.ch